



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,644 02/28/2002		Robert Joseph Topper	MATP-623US 3723		
23122	7590	03/07/2006		EXAMINER	
RATNERPRESTIA				YE, LIN	
P O BOX 980					
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2615	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/087,644	TOPPER, ROBERT JOSEPH					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Lin Ye	2615					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication, D (35 U.S.C. § 133),					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	January 2006.						
·		is action is non-final.						
3)	,—							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,5-10 and 13-15 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-10 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 March 2002</u> is/are	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L. Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/03/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as to claims 1-2, 5-10 and 13-15.

For amended claims 1 and 9, the applicant argues that the Aleksic reference does not disclose or suggest a multiplexer that selects a corrected input signal value as required by amended claim 1, because the Aleksic et al. the corrected signal is not generated until the offset from multiplexer 17 is added to the multiplied input signal value from multiplexer 15 (See Applicant's Remarks/Arguments, page 5, line 29 through page 6, line 2).

The examiner disagrees. The claims 1 and 9 do not require the "corrected input signal values" is a **final** corrected input signal, the circuit **does not need to add** additional offset values to adjust the "corrected input signal values" after a multiplexer that selects a corrected input signal value corresponding to the selected operator, and the circuit **only** includes a **single** multiplexer as shown in the applicant's Figure 3. Therefore, the Aleksic reference still meets the claims 1 and 9 requirement. The Aleksic reference discloses a plurality of operators coupled to receive the input signal (Y[7....0], see Col. 3, lines 32-33) for generating respective corrected input signal values (e.g., the multiplied input signal values 4*Y, Y, Y and Y/2 are also used for gamma correction of the input signal. Therefore, the multiplied input signal is considered as the "corrected input signal values", see Col. 3, lines 14-30); a multiplexer (15), responsive to the selection signal (S1 and S0), for selecting one of

Art Unit: 2615

the respective corrected input signal values (the multiplied input signal values 4*Y, Y, Y and Y/2) corresponding to the selected operator as shown in Figure 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aleksic et al. U.S. Patent 6,020,921.

Referring to claim 1, the Aleksic reference discloses in Figures 1, 3 and 4, a circuit (gamma correction circuit) for applying a transfer function to an input signal comprising: an input line for receiving the input signal (input video signal Y [7...0], See Col. 3, lines 32-33) as shown in Figure 3; a plurality of operators (e.g., three piecewise-linear segment operators as shown in Figure 1) for generating respective corrected input signal values (e.g., the multiplied input signal values 4*Y, Y, Y and Y/2 are used for gamma correction of the input signal. Therefore, the multiplied input signal is considered as the "corrected input signal values", see Col. 3, lines 14-30) corresponding to respectively different piecewise-linear segments of the transfer function (See Col. 3, lines 13-28); and a window detector (e.g., comparison circuit 21, constant input 34, AND gate 23 and OR gate 25 are considered as a

Application/Control Number: 10/087,644 Page 4

Art Unit: 2615

window detector) for determining a value of the input signal and generating a selection signal (S1 and S0) to select one of the operators based on the value of the input signal (e.g., comparison circuit 21, constant input 34, AND gate 23 and OR gate 25 determine whether the intensity values of the input signal Y[7...0] fitted into any segments as shown in Figure 1, and select one of the operators by using select signals S1 and S0 based on the value of the input signal, see Col. 3, lines 48-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-20); a multiplexer (15), responsive to the selection signal, for selecting one of the respective corrected input signal values corresponding to the selected operator (See Col. 3, lines 20-36 and Figure 4).

Referring to claim 2, the Aleksic reference discloses wherein the selected operator generates the piecewise-linear segment (three straight line segments) free of a table for defining the piecewise-linear segments of the transfer function (Col. 1, lines 49-54).

Referring to claim 5, the Aleksic reference discloses wherein the window detector includes a plurality of digital comparators and an encoder (elements 21, 23 and 25 obtain the select signals S1 and S0 as two bit codes) for selecting the one respective operator to provide the correct the value of the input signal as shown in Figures 3-4.

Referring to claim 6, the Aleksic reference discloses wherein the selected operator includes a multiplier for multiplying the value of the input signal with a value of a slope (e.g., the slop of three segments are 4, 1 and ½) of the piecewise-linear segment generated by the selected operator (See the transfer function disclosed in Col. 3, lines 24-27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/087,644 Page 5

Art Unit: 2615

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aleksic et al. U.S. Patent 6,020,921 in view of Lin et al. U.S. Patent 6,292,165.

Referring to claim 6, the Aleksic reference discloses all subject matter as discussed with respected to claim 1, except that the Aleksic reference does not explicitly show a detail of the selected operator includes a subtractor, a multiplier and an adder, the subtractor subtracting a lower value of the piecewise-linear segment, generated by the selected operator, from the value of the input signal to provide an offset value; the multiplier multiplying the offset value with a value of a slope of the piecewise-linear segment to provide a product; and the adder adding the product and a low output value of the piecewise-linear segment to provide the corrected input signal value.

The Lin reference teaches in Figures 1-3, a selected operator of the gamma correction circuit includes the subtractor (115, See Col. 5, line 21) subtracting a lower value of the piecewise-linear segment (bottom value G(A)), generated by the selected operator, from the value of the input signal to provide an offset value (into the offset flock 120); the multiplier (125) multiplying the offset value with a value of a slope ((G(B)-G(A))/(B-A)) of the piecewise-linear segment to provide a product; and the adder adding the product and a low output value of the piecewise-linear segment to provide the correction value (See the gamma

Art Unit: 2615

correcting function $G(IN) = \frac{(IN-A)^*(G(B)-G(A))}{(B-A)} + G(A)$ disclosed in Col. 3, lines 45-64). The Lin reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages the selected gamma correction operator includes a multiplexer, a subtractor, offset block and adder so that providing a improved piece-wise gamma correction method that saves memory, enhances gamma corrected accuracy, and also reduces circuit size (See Col. 2, lines 37-60). For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the gamma correction circuit of Aleksic ('921) by providing the selected operator to include a subtractor, a multiplier and an adder, the subtractor subtracting a lower value of the piecewise-linear segment, generated by the selected operator, from the value of the input signal to provide an offset value; the multiplier multiplying the offset value with a value of a slope of the piecewise-linear segment to provide a product; and the adder adding the product and a low output value of the piecewise-linear segment to provide the corrected input signal value as taught by Lin ('165).

6. Claims 8-10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aleksic et al. U.S. Patent 6,020,921 in view of Sathe et al. U.S. Patent 5,909,249.

Referring to claim 8, the Aleksic reference discloses all subject matter as discussed with respected to claim 1, except that the Aleksic reference does not explicitly show the transfer function is an inverse gamma transfer function.

The Sathe reference teaches in Figure 5, an example graphical of an inverse gamma transfer function for correcting the value of the input video signal (See Col8, lines 66-67, Col. 9, lines 1-18). The Sathe reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/087,644 Page 7

Art Unit: 2615

time to see more advantages the transfer function is an inverse gamma transfer function so that the corrected output video signal carry less quantization noise, thereby resulting in an improved video image (See Col. 9, lines 20-25). For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the gamma correction circuit of Aleksic ('921) by providing the inverse gamma transfer function to correct the value of the input video signal as taught by Sathe ('249).

Referring to claim 9, the Aleksic and Sathe references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected same comments to claims 1 and 8.

Referring to claim 10, the Aleksic and Sathe references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected same comments to claims 2 and 9.

Referring to claim 13, the Aleksic and Sathe references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected same comments to claims 5 and 9.

Referring to claim 14, the Aleksic and Sathe references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected same comments to claims 6 and 9.

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aleksic et al. U.S. Patent 6,020,921 in view of Sathe et al. U.S. Patent 5,909,249 and Lin et al. U.S. Patent 6,292,165.

Referring to claim 15, the Aleksic, Sathe and Lin references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected same comments to claims 7 and 9.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/087,644

Art Unit: 2615

8. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 8

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lin Ye whose telephone number is (571) 272-7372. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,644 Page 9

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lin Ye

Examiner

Technology Division 2622

March 03, 2006